21

G R S App F [X 4]

1943-44 ✓ ml File No 10-43

Previous References

ml 10-41-(65-72) (-ml)

Serial Nos 51+52

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 2

Part B for see 4a

SUBJECT

- Services of a special auditor (accountant of the Comptr's office) for a period of one year to compl the verification of the debt head accou of the Bangalore City cultv

To The ml Commr, B'lore
" Comptr thro' the full
L 5289-90 of 22/11/43

Sanctions

Letter no A1·1624/42 dy 26-6 43 from the ml comm, Bhf forwarding a report on the verification of the Debt Head Recom of the ml mess furnished by the Special Auditor deputed for the purpose

The Auditor had attended to the work from 15-6-42 to 28 4-43 availing himself of privilege leave for about a month during the period.

He has practically attended to work for about 9½ months excluding Privilege leave for about a month.

It is reported that the Verification of the accounts relating to the ~~ap~~ pay ~~ap~~ ~~ap~~ ~~ap~~

Letter No A1. 1624/42 Dy 6-9-43 from the ME Commr requesting that the service of the Spl auditor may be sanctioned for a period of one year to complete the Verification of the debt head Accounts of the case mtg-
submitted for perusal
The Comptroller & the Financial Secy may perhaps be Consulted
UKS 15/9 JMW
The Comptroller will be Consulted unofficially in the first instance - if the services of an Special Auditor could be spared for a year for work in the Municipality of Jo, a letter may be issued
Law Regd for
15/9/43
Ans 15-9-43

Forwarded unofficially with Compliments to the Comptroller for the Govt of Mysore for favour of furnishing the above information very early
B.O
Financial Commissioner
Secretariat
15/9/43 Law Dept

SECY LAW DEPT 15 SEP 43

C O No 94/43 dt 15 9 43

LAND

292/SP

SECTION

C O No 27/LAND dated 17 9 43

1702/1

Comptroller's Office/ | File No | of 19__

Serial No | 331/Spl B/ 13-10-43

D O No 1208/Ls dated 12th October 1943

Returned, with compliments

Senior Accountan was deputed to the Bangalo City Municipality against the sanction accorded in G O No L 11275-6/11 10-41-176 dated 28th May 1942 and he worked in the Bangalore City Municipality from 15-6-1942 to 28-4-1943 Items of work still to be attended to in connection with the reconciliation of Advances are sufficiently heavy and justify the posting of a senior Accountant of this office for a further period of 1 year on the same conditions as before i e , Grade salary as Accountant in Grade 60-5-100 plus a Deputation Duty Allowance of Rs 10/- per mensem

After sanction of Government is received a competent Accountant having experience of Municipal Accounts will be posted to the Bangalore City Municipality for the work in question

C Srinivasa Iyengar
Deputy Comptroller,
for Comptroller absent from
Head Quarters

14-10-4

We comptroller is agreeable
We propose the Director of
Cl may therefore be sanction
with financial concurrence

Law Dept 14 10 4

Maybe, Considered

Minister 14-10-43

The Hon Secretary

[illegible] 14

1702 OCT 1943 MYSORE

383 OCT 1943 GOVT O

FINANCIAL SECRETARY U O No FI 1743 15 OCT 1943

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H Dte 27 10 43 N 2652

1702

mc File No 60 of 1943 | Comptroller's Office

Serial No

Draft for Minister
3/11/43

Submitted with a draft Cable for approval
Ans 9/11

Issued
10/11/43

Cable sent has agreed draft-Yours Telegram 1373
Rec'd
Ans By 23/11
29/11/43

Done
Ltd -
E 29/11

Issued

To the mc Comm, Brisbane thro P&O 52
the Comptroller
No L5289-90 By 22-11-43
Sanctions the proposal with certain observations
11

TY — N
12 NOV 1943
GEN & P V SECTT

B
26/12
Jan

Bangalore, for laying pipe lines in Basavanagudi and Rs 77-7-0 being the establishment charges on the newly floated Debenture Loan Account have both been erroneously charged off in accounts under "Loans" only.

During June 1937, the sum of Rs 500/- repaid by the Municipal Employees' Co-Operative Societiey, Ltd., in adjustment of the amount previously advanced to the Society out of Municipal Funds has also been erroneously credited under "Loans" instead of "Advences". These wrong adjustments resulting in a net difference of Rs.75,780-2-9 i e., (Rs 51,202-11-9 plus 25,077-7-0 -- Rs.500/-) have however been set right in the accounts for July 1937 - not by regular transfer entries but by mere manipulation of figures in the plus and Minus Memo attached to the cash account for the month by raising the balance under "Loans" by Rs 75,780-2-9 and the Expenditure under the Debenture Loan Fund balance by a like amount.

It is further seen that the amounts advanced from the Debenture Loan Funds to the General Funds of the Municipality, to meet urgent demands, as detailed below, which have been subsequently recouped to Loan Funds in May 1940 have been wrongly shown in the cash Accounts for the respective months also under loans

	Rs	A.	P.	
July 1939	20,000	0	0	
Aug "	1,00,005	0	0	
Jan ,1940.	35,000	0	0	
March "	30,000	0	0	
Total	1,65,005	0	0	recouped to loan funds in May 1940.

Further credit on account of the realization of the first instalment of Rs 10,00,000/- drawn under the Debenture Loan intimated by the Mysore Bank as having been realized on 5-4-1937 has been included in the Ledger and

Report on the verification of the Debt-head accounts

1 - Loans -

The balance of the several loans borrowed from Govt. from time to time for tarring & carrying roads and ~~xxxxxxxx~~ out certain improvements is taken as Rs 4,80,000/- at the end of January 1935 in the plus and minus memo attached thereto In letter No A1-27-360/35-37 dated 26-2-37 addressed to the Manager, ~~xxxxxx~~ Bank of Mysore, Ltd , Bangalore, it is requested that a sum of Rs 7,12,500/- may be credited by adjustment to Dewan's Deposit Account out of the first instalment of ten lakhs of rupees drawn against the newly floated Debenture Loan of Rs 20,00,000/- in full adjustment of the several loans due to Government on 1st March 1937 The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore in forwarding a detailed account of the several loans due by the Municipality, as requested in the Commissioner's Letter No A1-27-360 of 36 dated 24-2-37 has in his letter No.726 dated 3-3-1937 stated that the exact amount of the loan due by the Municipality on 1-3-1937 was Rs 7,37,551-3-2 exclusive of the interest of Rs 26,151-8-7 due on that date Accordingly the sum of Rs 7,12,500/- paid to the credit of the Dewan's Deposit Account during March 1937 by the Mysore Bank, was adjusted in the books of the Comptroller's Office - Rs 6,86,348-7-5 towards Principal and Rs 26,151-8-7 towards interest due and a further sum of Rs 51,202-11-9 together with interest, i e , (Rs 7,37,551-3-2 - Rs 6,86,348-7-5) was demanded as still due by the Municipality in his letter No 726 dated 3-3-1937 This sum of Rs 51,202-11-9 has also been paid to the credit of the Dewan's Deposit Account on 10-3-1937 - the same being debited in the ~~xx~~ accounts of the Municipality under "Loans".

In the accounts of April 1937 a sum of Rs 25,000/- advanced to the Executive Engineer Water Supply Division,

1 Loans
2 General Investment
3 Loan to the Municipal Employees' Co-operative Society
4 Sinking Fund and its investments
5 Deposits
    (a) Tender Deposits
    (b) Miscellaneous Deposits
    (c) Market Deposits
    (d) Cattle Pound Deposits
    (e) Outstanding Deposits of previous years
    (f) Octroi Deposits
    (g) Lapsed Deposits
    (h) Site Value Deposits

mlt

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

39

No A1-1624-42

Encl Sir

MUNICIPAL OFFICES

Bangalore 26th June 1943

FROM P Suryanarayana Setti, Esq B A , LL B

MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER

Bangalore City

SECY LAW DEPT * GOVT OF MYSORE *

To

Narayanaswamy Naidu Esq , B A , B L

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT

OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

LAW DEPARTMENT BANGALORE

Sir

"B" Adverting to the Government Order No L 11275-6/M1 10-41-176 dated 28-5-1942, calling for a report on the verification of the Debt Head accounts of this Municipality, I have the honour to state that Mr A S Subba Rao, Accountant of the Comptroller's Office who was deputed for this work, reported himself for duty on 15-6-42 and worked till the end of 28-4-1943, on which date he was relieved "C" here as per your letter No L 10467/M1 10-4-2 dated 27-4-1943 - During this period, he was on privilege leave for about a month on account of ill-health He has furnished notes on the verification of the accounts relating to the* marginally noted items of Debt Heads and copies of the same are herewith forwarded for kind perusal The verification of the accounts relating to the advances has yet to be attended to The matter will be placed before the Municipal Council as to the further action to be taken in the matter and their decision intimated to Government in due course

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments

p t o

and the cash account for June 1937 but no credit only on the Cash Book for the month.

The balance of loan outstanding against the municipality then consisting only of the newly floated Debenture Loan inspite of the errors and omissions noted above, arrives at Rs 20,00,000/- at the end of June 1940 and maintained as such up to this date This figure agrees with the actual accounts balance however the loan register contemplated in Rule of the Municipal Accounts Manual (Form I) had been maintained at the time, in addition to the loan appropriation Register (which alone had been maintained) there would have been no necessity to request the Comptroller to send extracts of Loan Accounts as is done in this Office letter of 24th February 1937 and subsequent reminders all the confusion noted supra would also easily have been avoided If at all any extracts from the Comptroller's Registers was required, it could be got only for purposes of verification

The Register of loans is a very important account document as it gives a clear view of the loan position of the municipality from time to time and facilitates also the watching of the interest payable and that actually paid periodically. It is essential therefore that this Register is brought into existence at least now in respect of the single loan outstanding at present, namely, a Debenture loan of Rs 20,00,000/- and this register submitted after scrutiny to the Commissioner for review north after month or even periodically soon after the half yearly interests are paid and payments thereof are duly recorded therein

2/ - GENERAL INVESTMENTS -

Out of the loan of Rs.20,00,000/- raised by 3½% Debentures under G. O. No L 4,400-404/Ml.105-38-2 dated 15th December 1938 a sum of Rs 6,00,000/- is seen to have been invested during December 1937 in Mysore Government Savings Bank Account at 2% on the authority of Letter No. L.5230/Ml.105-36-23 dated 10th Dec[illegible] 1937. In the months of May 39 and June 1939 Rs 3 and 2 lacs respectively have been withdrawn leaving a balance of Rs 1,00,000/- which has continued stagnant till the end of June 1940 This sum agrees with the actual account balance on 30-6-1940 The above transactions are however found to have been merely carried through the monthly Cash accounts but not recorded in the General Investment Register form No 105 of the Municipal Accounts Manual as required by Rule No 373 thereunder This balance of Rs 1,00,000/- having been since withdrawn and there being also no other General Investments now held by the Municipality the necessity for the maintenance of the Investment Register has of course ceased but vide report under Sinking Fund Investments

18/11/40

SPECIAL AUDITOR

Report on the verification of the Debt-Head Bal[illegible]

II A LOAN TO THE MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES CO-OPERATIVE [illegible]TY LTD, BANGALORE CITY:-

In connection with the credit of Rs 500/- appe[illegible] under the Head Loans in the Accounts for June 193[illegible] observed in para 3 of the report dated 18-11-1942 on the verification of the loan accounts, the relative files were obtained and the process of verification was carried back-wards with the result that it is seen that the Municipality advanced on 5-11-1932 a sum of Rs 5,000/- to this Co-operative Society as a loan at 4% per annum for a period of 10 years on the authority of Government Order No L 1291-2/M1,10/-32 2 dated 18/19th August 1932, and that this charge was debited in the accounts for Nov, 1942 under the Debt Head under L[illegible] Though this loan was permitted in Government Order No L/[illegible] 8/M1 283-33-7 dated 29/30th October 1935 to be repaid at the end of 10 years paying only the interest annually, the [illegible] has, besides making the usual interest payments, [illegible] sum of Rs.3,000/- so far towards the liquidation of the principal in 6 instalments of Rs 500/- each on the dates shown in the margin. The first instalment of Rs 500/- paid by the Society on 5-6-193[illegible] seen to have been credited to Irrecoveries stated under the head Loans, the second third and the fourth instalments are allocated as credits under 'ADVANCES' and the fifth and the sixth instalments are found receipted under the Service Head E6 recoveries of overpayments of previous years.

3-6-1937
16-11-1937
7-3-1939
23-7-1940
30-6-1941
30-6-1942

To have debited the payment of Rs 500/- to the [illegible] in the first instances was itself not regular, in as much as this head comes into operation only in respect of the [illegible] ings of the Municipality. The amount loaned to the [illegible] could in the alternative have been debited to Advances as this loan would fetch some interest while advances bear [illegible] has more appropriately to be

General Investments of the Municipality and included as the closing balance. Neither this is done, nor all the recoveries consistently credited to the Head Loans to which the amount advanced was originally debited Besides, there is no register maintained in the office to show when and what amount was advanced to the society, what recoveries were effected and what is the balance outstanding No mention is also made of this asset of the Municipality in the report submitted to Government regarding the discrepancies relating to the Head accounts in this Office letter No A1-319-38 dated 8-10-1940 nor is this amount found to have been included in the closing balance on 30-6-1940 under any of the Heads like loans advances or Investments In the file of papers on the subject it is seen that the necessary bond was got executed from the society for this loan but the bond itself is not to be found in the office file and it is not known if this is kept separately under safe custody

To rectify all these mistakes in accounts a series of adjustment is now to be made, but it seems enough if an account of this loan is opened at least now and the balance of Rs 2,000/- outstanding on this day, i e , on 30-6-1942 is brought under investments and taken on to the Register of General Investments as a separate item of Investment held by the Municipality As the term of 10 years allowed under the two Government Orders noted above for the repayment of the loan having come [illegible] on 30-6-1942 and as there is still a balance of Rs 2,000/- to be recovered steps are necessary to be taken to [illegible] payment al recover this balance immediately unless it is decided necessary to have the term of the loan extended for which special orders of Government will be necessary

actually credited in accounts from time to time to Sinking Fund, (against the stipulated annual contribution of - Rs 80,000/- only shown as credited to the Fund in the Sinking Fund Register), and also on account of the commission paid for the purchase of the Securities being charged off in the accounts to end of June 1940 not to the Sinking Fund Investments. but to the Service Head "H" Miscellaneous So much about the balances to end of June 1940

As the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore has in his letter No 37 BL.C dated 25-7-1942 observed that certain adjustments under the Sinking Fund effected in the accounts for December 1941 and January 1942 disclose that they are mere manipulation of account balances to agree with the balances in the Sinking Fund Register verification was further continued to end of January 1942 with the result that it is seen that these adjustmenets have been made not exactly to bring about a reconciliation between the account and Sinking Fund Register balances but only to agree the balances under the Sinking Fund as shown in the cash account for January 1942 with the balance shown therein against Sinking Fund Investment.

In fact even after these adjustmenets are effected the balance at credit of the Sinking Fund as shown by the Sinking Fund Register at the close of January 1942 does not tally with the actual account figure as on that - date The balance as per accounts is Rs 4,31,966-0-5 while the Sinking Fund Register shows it as Rs 4,25,183-10-0 only The Sinking Fund Register cannot therefore be said to have been maintained properly For this reason and since the adjustmenteffected in December 1941 and Jan ,'42 as referred to above were not got certified by the Local Auditors before they were incorperated in accounts, it has

Verification of Debt-Head Balances:-

III SINKING FUND AND ~~DEBT~~ INVESTMENTS:-

In the report relating to the discrepancies in the maintenance of Debt-Head accounts accompanying the Municipal Commissioner's letter No A1-319/38 Dated 24-10-1940 addressed to the Secretary to Government, Law Department, the amounts at credit of the Sinking Funds and the value of investments held thereunder to end of June 1940 both as per the Cash account and the concerned ledger are noted to be Rs 2,50,531-6-5 and Rs 2,40878-8-5 respectively Referring however to the register of Sinking Fund(M A M Form 107) as maintained in this Office,-the same being not attested by any officer,-the amount at credit of the Sinking Fund at the close of the year 39-40 is seen to have been ~~only~~ Rs 2,49,453-10-0 only-(Rs 2,40,000/- being the aggregate of the 3 years contribution at the stipulated rate of Rs 80,000/- per annum plus the interest of Rs 9,453-10-0 accrued and realised on the Sinking Fund Investment up to end of June 1940, and the value of the investment held to that date is shown as Rs 2,41,583-8-5 Thus the figures as reported to Government do not agree with those actually shown by the Sinking Fund Register and it cannot therefore be said that the figures of the cash account and the concerned ledger i e , the Subsidiary Register of Sinking Funds agreed at all at the close of 1940 Apparently either the Sinking Fund Register had not been maintained at the time or no reconcilliation was actually effected between the Cash Account and the Sinking Fund Register figures at the time of sending the report to Government It seemsas though the figures in the Sinking Fund Register were presumed to be the same as in the Cash Account Anyway, the differences of Rs 1,077-12-5 under Sinking Fund (Rs 2,50,531-6-5 -- Rs.2,49,453-10-0 and of Rs. 705/- under the Sinking Fund Investments, i.e , (Rs 2,41,583-3-5 -- Rs.2,40,878-8-5) are mainly due to ~~not~~ effecting a reconciliation between the amounts actually

the Accounts of January 1935 as Voucher No 23 dated 3-1-35 6 January 1935 - The receipts as per accounts Rs 361-2-0 nly while Rs 381-2-0 is taken in the Deposit Register This difference is due to taking in accounts a refund of credit of Rs 20/- on 25-1-1935, towards a dishonoured cheque out of Rs 120-4- 0 credited on 15-1-1935 against Chellan No 49 ? If against Rs 120-4-0 a cheque for Rs 20/- was dishonoured the net credit will be Rs 100/4/0 only. But against this net credit of Rs 100-4-0 refunds of (i) Rs 63/- (ii) Rs 32/- and (iii) 13-8-0 or Rs 108-8-0 in all are noted in the cash book against the original credit entry How any refund in excess of Rs 100-4-0 could be noted against it is not clear unless the amount of Rs 20/- representing the amount of dishonoured cheque was recovered from the party subsequently and xrecredited to Municipal funds Whether and if so when this dishonoured cheque was recouped is not traceable This may kindly be looked into Again during the same month the payment as per accounts under Market Deposits are Rs 24-10-0 i e, Rs 14/- paid on 3-1-1935 and 10-10-0 adjusted on 30-1-35. Rs.14/- goes to set of the difference in December 1934 and Rs 10-10-0 relates to Voucher No 367 of 30-1-1935, being the refund against C No 51 dated 22-10-1934 Payments in January 1935 have therefore to be raised by Rs 10-10-0 and the balance reduced to that extent.

In the Deposit Register the total payment under market deposit is noted in the Abstract on page 69 as Rs 2/- only which is the refund against Cl No 638 in favour of one Mr Neelakanta (Vide page 40) This together with the refund of Rs 28/- shown in favour of Mr Neelakanta during Dec ,34 is not also traceable in accounts The fact of payment may be traced and ~~if~~ the balance ~~f~~ for Feb ,1935 may, if necessary, be raised by Rs 30/- Lastly, in the Deposit Register page 72 a refund of Ps.3-8-0 is shown in the column for Jan ,35 against serial Number 27 dated 10-10-1934 in favour of one

As this amount is credited once for all to the Final Head the Revenue and not to Deposits, the opening balance for 1 1935 gets reduced by Rs 35/- of Rs 35/- As however this sum is seen have been adjusted for a second time in the accounts for December 1938 i e on 19-12-1938 by debit to Deposit and credit to Final Head this adjustment has now to be reversed by credit to Deposits and Refunds under B8 A Market collections

3. During September 34 the actual Debits under Market Deposit as per accounts is Rs 100/- while Rs 80/- only is taken to the Abstract on page 99 This relates to Voucher No 382 dated 25-9-1934, being the refund of C No 22 dated 11-3-1932 - (Deposit No.239) taken credit under Miscellaneous Deposit Vide page 75 of Miscellaneous Deposit Register for 34-35) No further adjustment is required under Market Deposit

4 In November 1934 the charges are Rs 136-8-0 as per account which while Rs 156-8-0 only is taken to the Abstract The difference of Rs 20/- is accounted for on 1st December 1934 Hence this difference is set off the difference in December 34

5 December 34 - The debit in accounts is Rs 20/- which goes to set off the difference in November 1934 as detailed above. But in the deposit register the charges during Dec ,1934 are taken as Rs 42/- Rs 28/- against Serial No 527 on page 37 in favour of G Neelakantappa, which is noted as refunded in the column for December, 34 This Refund Bill is seen to have been passed in Audit on 23-1-1935, but the debit on this account could not be traced in the accounts eitherfor Jan , or subsequent months The Bill Register of the Municipal Office shows however that this Bill bearing No 2080 has been disposed of on 23-1-1935 The fact of adjustment has to be traced further and if no adjustment is made so far, this item should be included in the opening balance The difference Rs 14/- relates to the refund noted in December 1934 column ist C) No 51 dated 22-10-1934 (sl. No 34 on page 72 Though ill is audited during December 1934 it is charged off

Credits for Rs 15/- and Rs 50/- pertaining to C Nos 17 dated 22-10-1934 and 31 dated 27-10-1934 respectively are omitted to be taken on to the deposit register. The item for Rs 15/- remains unadjusted to-date as seen from the General Cash Book and that for Rs.50/- is noted as refunded on 19-7-35 Both these items should therefore be added to the opening balanc for February 1935 Thus, the final opening balance for Feb , 1935 stands at Rs.10,336-6-5 after making the following addition and alterations in the opening balance of Rs 10,227-5-5 as - adopted by the Municipality

Opening balance for Feb ,'35	10,227	5	5
ADD	0	1	0
	48	0	0
	15	0	0
	50	0	0
	10,340	6	5
	4	0	0
NETT OR ACTUAL BALANCE	10,336	6	5

III MARKET DEPOSITS -

The opening balance of Rs 16,889-12-0 taken in the plus and minus memo attached to January 1935 ~~in~~ Cash Account is made up of the total of unadjusted items of deposit from 1914 to end of June 1934, as copied on pages 1 to 67 of the Market Deposit Register for 1934-35 and also includes the transactions from July 1934 to end of January 1935 But the total of un-adjusted deposits as noted on Page 67 is not correct, as it actually comes to Rs 15,667/- as against Rs.15,354/- as noted Thus the Opening balance for 34-35/gets added with a sum of Rs 323/

2 In the month of August 1934 the receipts are taken in the Abstract for 34-35, as noted on page 99 as Rs 261-8-0 while the amount actually credited to Market Deposits in the accounts for August 1934 is Rs 226-8-0 only resulting in a difference of Rs 35/- This amount relates to C No 28 dated 16-8-34 which is actually credited in accounts to the Final Revenue Head B 8A General Market collections and lighting charges As this amount

in the month of October 1933 the total receipts ~~is~~ are taken - erroneously as Rs 1,075/- instead of Rs.1,100/- as per the details on page 6 of the Register and since in the Abstract on Page 46 the Receipts during June 1934 is wrongly taken as Rs 4,360/- instead of Rs 4,380-12-0 ~~xx~~ vide details on pages 22 to 26, ~~in~~ the Opening Balance has to be raised by Rs 45-12-0 (i.e , Rs 25 plus 20-12-0) During October 1934 the receipts are again taken in the Abstract on page 90 as Rs 591/- instead of Rs 691/- as per ~~xxxxxx~~ entries detailed on page 60 Adding these differences, the Opening Balance under this Head comes actually to Rs 18,131-11-4 as against Rs 18,005-15-4 and the same is adopted for purposes of this review Vide further remarks at p 15

II MISCELLANEOUS DEPOSITS -

The opening ~~in~~ balance for February 1935 has been taken as Rs ~~18,000~~ 10,227-5-5 which is arrived at by adding the total of the unadjusted items of credits from July 1934 to end of January 1935 to the outstandings of Rs 20,977-4-9 from 1-3-1927 to the end of June 1934 as copied on pages 69 to 90 of the Miscellaneous Deposit Register for 34-35 -

The total of the outstandings at the close of 1934 is actually Rs.20,977-5-9 and therefore the opening balance for February 1935 gets raised by 1 anna In the closing balance at the end of June 1934 two items of credits amounting to Rs 16 and 32 relating to deposit number 397 dated 14-3-1937 and 403 of 16-3-1921 (vide page 90 of the Register) are noted as refunded on 30-6-1935 But these are omitted to be included in the opening balance for February 35

Again, the total of the deposit credits for the month of October 1934 as entered on pages 108 to 111 is wrongly - worked out to Rs 955-8-0 instead of 951-8-0 This goes to reduce the opening balance ~~of~~ For February 1935 by Rs 4/-

Lastly, in the same month, i e , October 1934 two items of

to Government.

In adopting the opening balances as worked out by the Municipality under the various sub-heads except that the totals are checked, palpable errors detected or glarring omissions supplied as noted below, no exhaustive scrutiny is made as to whether all or any of these outstanding items have really been credited in accounts to Deposits or if they have not at any previous date been refunded, or otherwise adjusted In some of the months an item rightly pertaining to one sub-head of Deposit is included in the other sub heads in the Deposit registers so much so that though the total of outstandings at the end of June 1940 do not thereby get affected the balances under the several sub heads get altered from the figures as shown in the plus and Minus Memo attached to the cash account for June 1940 As it was reported to Government in this Office letter No A1-319/38 dated 24th October 1940 that every endeavour is being made from July 38 to maintain accounts relating to Debt Head correctly, no verification of the transactions from 38-39 onwards need have been done Nevertheless, as some differences have been noticed at the end of 30-6-1940, verification is now done till the close of the year 39-40 and the subsequent transactions left untouched except for the few items that have cropped up incidentally.

Coming to the question of the opening balances, the following is the result of verification under the several heads

1) Tender Deposits - The Opening Balance under the head is taken as Rs 18,005-15-4 and it comprises only of the unadjusted credits of the year 1933-34 as copied on pages 1 to 26 of the Tender Deposit Register for 33-34 plus the transactions from July 1934 to 31-1-1935 The total unadjusted items for 33-34 is taken as working sub to Rs.8,038-8-0 in the Abstract noted on page 46 of the Register, but as in

Report on the verification of the Debt-Head Accounts

3 - DEPOSITS:-

Details for the balances under Service and Debt Heads working up to the closing balance at the end of each month are worked out for the first time along with the cash account for January 1935, but such details are found to be worked out correctly only from April 1935 onwards

Presuming that the balance of Rs 2,09,323-12-4 adopted as the closing balance for January 1935, as worked out in the first plus and minus memo attached to the cash account for January 1935 (corrected) and working out the balances at end of each month taking the receipts and expenditure under the several sub heads as entered in the Receipt and Expenditure Ledgers from February 1935 to June 1940 and the total debits and credits as brought on to the cash accounts, the closing balance under the head Deposit account at the end of June 1940 works out actually to Rs 4,83,964-2-11, as against Rs 4,84,573-8-11 shown in the plus and minus memo attached to the cash account for June 1940, resulting in a difference of Rs.609-6-0.

This closing balance is further subject to additions and alterations, as per differences and omissions noticed in the following reviews under the several sub-heads of deposits as also the differences in the opening balances under some of these heads as adopted, by the Municipality

As verification is stated to have been done by the Municipality up to 34-35 and since in Government Order (No L 11875-6/M1 10-41-176 dated 28-5-1942 specific mention is made to the effect that the Debt Head accounts are to be verified from 1935 onwards scope of the present verification is limited to the transactions between 1st Feb ,1935 and 30-6-1940 on which date some differences between the accounts and subsidiary register figures are reported

Rule No 373 thereof. This Register together with the Sinking Fund R C's or both of which are important account records should be got reconciled with the monthly cash - account and be submitted after scrutiny to the Commissioner month after month or even periodic lly, for purposes of review

23/11/62

SPECIAL AUDITOR

VSM

led the Comptroller to make the observation that here ha been mere manipulation of figures

An account prepared correctly on the basis of the figures as have entered into the monthly cash account is prepared and appended below for favour of information,-and ge[illegible] the same adopted If this is done it will not onl prove the accuracy of the statement that the balance as per the ledger at the end of June 1940 agreed with the cash A/C figure as reported to Government, but will show also the ac ual state of affairsat the end of January 1942

Modified Account of Sinking Fund and its investmenets from Feb.,1939 t- Jan.,-1942.

Month	Amount credited in accounts to Sinking Fund			Amount debited to Sirking Fund Investment			Balance uninveste Sinking F	
	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P.	Rs	A
February 1939	79,846	12	0	79,846	12	0	---	
May "	82,928	7	1	81,150	11	1	1,777	12
Nov , "	3,199	7	0	--			3,199	7
January 1940	79,881	1	4	79,881	1	4	--	
May "	3,199	7	0				3,199	7
June "	1,476	4	0				1,476	4
Total to end of June 1940	2,50,531	6	5	2,40,878	8	5	9,652	14
Balances B/D	2,50,531	6	5	22,40,878	8	5	9,652	14
September 1940	--			9,730	0	0	-- 9,730	0
December "	4,855	3	0	--			4,855	3
May 1941	--			84,900	0	0	-84,900	0
June "	4,855	3	0	--			4,855	3
November "	3,199	7	0	--			3,199	7
December "	80,814	2	7	727	8	0	80,086	10
January 1942	87,710	10	5	95,730	0	0	-- 8,019	5
TOTAL TO END OF JANUARY 1942	4,31,966	0	5	4,31,966	0	5	---	

[illegible]

The sum of Rs 79,649-11-7 adjusted by credit to Sinking Fund in the accounts for December 1941 goes to set off the difference in the accounts for May 1941 in which a sum of Rs 84,900 has been debited to Sinking Fund Investment withou

thout a corresponding credit or adequate provision under he Sinking Fund similarly the sum of Rs 86,054-14-5 credited in adjustment to the Sinking Fund in the accounts for January 1942 goes to cover not only the differences between the sinking fund and the investment in the months of September 1940 and December 1940 but also to cover the sum of Rs.85,700/- debited to investmenets during that month, besides, meeting the commission charges of Rs 727-8-0 originally debited in account under "H' Miscellaneous, but transferred to Sinking Fund Investment in the accounts for December 1941. (Vidd details in the statements shown on the reverse)

In this connection it may however be stated that out of the sum of Rs 9,732-8-0 paid to the Comptroller during September 1940 for purchasing 4% bonds of Rs 9,000/- in value a sum of Rs 4/- has been refunded on 23-9-1940 by means of Trust Payment Order No 1429 and similarly out of the amount of Rs 95,730/- paid to him on 23-1-1942 for investing in 2½ Fixed Deposit Rs 30/- has been sent back in the form of Trust Payment Order No 3879 dated 4th March 1942. As these refunds go to reduce the value of theinvestments held at the end of January 1942 they should have been adjusted in the Municipal Accounts by treating them as refunds under Sinking Fund Investments instead of their being credited under the Service Head "E" Miscellaneous R & P These amounts may now be kindly got adjusted as "refunds" under - Sinking Fund Investment and shown in the Sinking Fund Register as uninvested balances.

It may also be stated here that the several securities held under the Sinking Fund Investment Account should in addition to their entry in the Sinking Fund Register be noted also in detail in the Register of Investmenet namely, form No 105 of the Municipal Account Manual as required by Rule

month by debit to Stock nd credit to E Miscellaneous Hire on Decoration rticles This has now to be readjusted as under:-

Debit -

Rs 2 14 0		E Miscellaneous Hire on Decoration articles
Rs. 2 14 0		D Drainage works.
5 12 0		

Credit,-

Stock. ... Rs. 5 12 0.

Similarly in the accoun s for November 1935 a sum of Rs 17-15-0 proposed to be credited to Stock, in Transfer Entry No.100 dated 19-10-1935 has been wrongly booked in accounts as a Debit to - Stock and this bee adjustment has now to be reversed as follows

Debi

Rs. 17 15 0		D2 Dr in Repairs.
Rs 17 15 0		Deposits

Credi

Stock. ... Stock Rs. 35 14 0

3. It is further seen hat out of the closing bal nce of - Rs 1,436-3-10 on 30-6-1940, a sum of Rs 587-15-8 has been - adjusted in the accounts for June 1941, thus reducing the balance o Rs 848-4-2. If the adjustment now indicated in para 2 above are effected, the balance under stock s ill to be accounted for will be brought down to Rs 806-10-2 Un il this is done, the unadjuste balance under S ock may be kindly no ed to be - Rs.848-4-2

4. The stock Debit Register in the Municipal Engineer's Office and/or he ore Keeper's Issue Register for ocal (maintained only from 1936-37) were examined to see how the balance of materials have been dealt with In the register of Stock Debits certain issues were found in the course of review, to have been noted in pencil and an enquiry as to what these entries meant, it was explained that they represented the issues of ma erials to works not yet adjusted in accounts These items were lis ed out and the - Engineer's attention was urged also to have these i ems adjus ed in accoun s early and o furnish a sa isfac ory accoun for the -

Report on he verification of the debt-bord accounts:

Stock Debits

During the period from January 1935 to June 1940 cost of certain materials purchased for public works such as Stone-ware pipes, paints and emulsion, etc., amounting in all to Rs 7,206-12-6 has been debited in accoun s under the Suspense Head "Stock, probably for the reason that it could not be - charged off to Final heads of expenditure either for want of provision in the estimates for works or the estimates themselves having not yet been sanctioned at the time. Out of that expenditure so debited a sum of Rs 5,770-8-6 is found to have been adjusted by credit to stock and debit to works by the end of the year 39-40, leaving an unadjusted debit balance of Rs 1,436-3-10 on 30-6-1940 The Cash accoun for the month of June 1940 shows however a closing credit balance of Rs 1,490-4-1 on 30-6-1940 and this sum is subsequently altered to a credit balance of Rs 1,092-1-5. There is therefore a difference of Rs 2,345-5-3 between the actual amount balance and that as shown by the cash account for June 1940 and this difference is due to the following debit and credit transactions under the head being omitted to be taken on to the plus and minus memos attached to the General Cash accounts of the Municipality for the respectivemonths

Months.	Debits omitted Rs. A P.		Credits omitted. Rs A P
January 1935.	28 0 0		
March 1935	2 14 0	(wrong debit)	5 9 3
June "	1,260 13 0		
November "	1,145 1 0	(includes a wrong debit of Rs.17-15-0	85 15 6
Total	2,436 14 0		91 8 9

NET DIFFERENCE .. Rs 2,345-5-3

2. In the accoun for March 1935, a sum of Rs 2-14-0 being the amount of voucher No 430 dated 30-3-1935 proposed for adjustment by debit to (P) Drainage Works, and credit to Stock has been erroneously incorporated in the accoun s for the -

the balance still to be adjusted. The Engineering Section has now intimated that out of the balance of Rs.848-4-2 adjustment to the extent of Rs 754-2-6 have since been proposed and the concerned transfer entry slips are sent to the Auditor for scrutiny. These adjustments may kindly be got audited passed in audit and included in accounts early so that the balance may be reduced considerably

5. Even after these adjustments are effected there still remains a balance of Rs 52-7-8 to be accounted for From the defective way in which the Stock Issue register is maintained, i.e., as it shows only the names of subordinates to whom the materials were issued and or from whom they were returned, but not the names of sanctioned works to which they were issued it is not easy to trace what articles have been issued of any particular work and what balance is still remaining The Engineering Section may take needful action to trace the issues with reference to the entries, if any made, in the Measurement books for the period under which the value of the remaining stocks adjusted, if at all, the materials have all been issued to works and Otherwise, an Inventory of the materials as are still lying in stores unused out of the said materials purchased under Stock may now be got prepared and the articles if found serviceable on inspection, be arranged to be issued to other works in progress at their cost price and the value fully adjusted by credit to Stock If there be no unused materials left, or if their issue cannot be traced or satisfactorily accounted for there is now a burden to effect recovery of the cost of these materials to the extent it cannot be accounted for from the Officers responsible anyway, needful action may kindly be be taken to get the balance under Stock wiped off as early as possible since it is running in accounts unnecessarily for years together.

6 In this connection it may be stated that unless there exists any necessity to purchase and store materials for "General Use"

the Suspense Head Stock should not ordinarily be operated upon bu
cost of materials required for works should be provided
in the concerned work estimates and the value charged off in
accounts once for all to the relative estimates If in the
cases in question estimates had been so sanctioned well in
advance including the cost of materials there would have been
no necessity to debit the cost to stock which has now necessit
many adjustments and all and also confusion in accounts could
have been avoided It may also be incidentally remarked that the public wor
Accounts Code contemplates the levy of Centage charges at 10
on the value of materials issued to Contractors departmentally
(if such issues are considered necessary in the interest of
- efficient work and if the contractors have tendered Inclu
Rates, i.e., both for the cost of materials and labour"
however seen that in no case in which these stock materials
issued to contractors such Centage has been recovered. In
absence of such recovery it is presumed that the contractors wer
paid only labour charges on proper data, debiting the cost o
materials direct to works separately Anyway, it seems -
desirable to pay the Contractors the inclusive sanctioned or
dered rates and to recover from them Centage at 10% on the cost of
materials to cover Storage and Supervision charges his
aspect of the question is suggested only for consideration in
regulating future transactions of the kind, if any

Submitted to the Municipal Commissioner ?

? Bangalore,
22nd March 1943

22/3/43
P C L AUDITOR

one Mr Krishnamaraju In the Bill Register this amount of Rs.3-8-0 is noted to have been paid on 30-1-1935 against Bill No 252" This debit also cannot be traced in the accounts for January 1935 This may kindly be verified further and the item taken on as an omitted entry if not traced to have been paid or adjusted already

IV CATTLE POUNDS DEPOSITS

The opening balance for February 1935 is taken in the plus and minus memo attached to the cash account for January 1935 as Rs 356-2-0 which is inclusive of Rs 108-1-0 for the unadjusted credits of the year 1933-34 only and none relating to previous years This opening balance includes an amount of Rs 5-3-0 (against Sl. No 30 vide item No 93/C No.31 page 114 of the Deposit Register of 34-35) being the auction sale proceeds of a cow remitted by A I Thirumich of Malleswaram Cattle-pound There being no such amount credited in the accounts for May 1934 under deposits against C No 31 this seems to be a double entry of item No.25 vide Deposit No.80 dated 7-5-1934 on the same page No.114. Omitting therefore, this item the actual opening balances comes to Rs 351-4-0 only On pages 113 to 127 of this Deposit Register the following items amounting in all to Rs 144-0-0 are left unadjusted to the final Head of Revenue or being taken to Lapsed deposit for the reason that they have been taken already to Revenue Head, as remarked against each item If these items had been so credited to Revenue there was no reason to have taken them to the deposit register. On verification however with the accounts for the respective months it is seen that each of these items has been credited under deposits only and not taken in the Revenue Head The probable reason for such a remark is because the allocation has been omitted to be noted in the General Cash Book in the column provided therefor Merely because there is this omission there was no reason to have

It is clear that these items have not been adjusted so far and since they are omitted to be carried forward to the next Deposit Register they may now be taken as "omitted" entries in the deposit register of Cattle Pounds for the current year and the same may then be lapsed to Municipal Funds by debit to Deposits

Details of the items omitted -

Page 4-35 Deposit Register	Sl No	Date and particulars of original Deposit	Amount Rs	A	P
113	11	74 of 21-3-1934-	2	0	0
113	19	82 of 20-4-1934	0	2	0
"	20	83 of 23-4-1934	5	8	0
114	31	94 of 16-5-1934	0	12	0
115	37	100 of 28-5-1934	0	6	0
"	38	101 of -do-	0	4	0
115	39	102 of 1-6-1934	0	6	0
"	40	103 of 5-6-1934	0	4	0
119	38	11-7-1934	17	14	0
121		21 of 6-8-1934	11	12	0
"		22 of -do-	4	14	0
		23 of 11-8-34	0	6	0
		24 of 14-8-1934	0	4	0
		25 of 15-8-1934	1	8	0
		26 of 20-8-1934	4	0	0
		27 of 20-8-1934	5	4	0
		28 of 21-8-1934	2	8	0
		29 of 22-8-1934	0	12	0
		30 of 22-8-1934	0	2	0
		31 of 25-8-1934	2	0	0
122		32 of 27-8-1934	0	14	0
		33 of 27-8-1934	1	8	0
		34 of 29-8-1934	3	14	0
		35 of 31-8-1934	10	12	0
		36 of 1-9-1934	0	4	0
		37 of 3-9-1934	2	14	0
		38 of 3-9-1934	0	8	0
		39 of 7-9-1934	0	4	0
123	40 to 49		14	8	0
124	55 to 57		3	6	0
125	59	6-12-1934	2	10	0
"	65 to 67		1	0	0
126	68	2-1-1935	0	2	0
	70 to 75		12	12	0
		78 of 12-1-1935	8	10	0
127	80 to 85		17	11	0
Total of omitted entries			144	9	0

Further items Nos 1/Deposit 29 dated 6-11-1934 for Rs 8/- and 22/Deposit for Rs 11 8-0, Temporarily are also not taken as omitted entries in the Subsequent deposit Register

V OUTSTANDING DEPOSITS OF PREVIOUS YEARS:-

The Register containing 951 items of these unadjusted items recorded on pages 1 to 57 was checked. The total put down as Rs 89,738-3-4 is not correct and it should be raised by Rs 2,277-13-6 and arrived at Rs 92,016-0-10 Of this sum of Rs 100/- relating to items 31 and 32 at Rs.50/- each has to be deducted since they are taken on to the list of items to be lapsed for Rs 9,018-1-2 also. (vide items - 27 and 28 on page 73 of the Register). Further against item No 897 for Rs 250/- a note of interogation is marked in the register the amount being circled off. What exactly is signified by this remark is not clear. As the General Cash Book containing the - original entry is said to have beensent to court on 26-6-42 it could not be verified whether and if so when this amount of Rs 250/- was refunded Pending verification by the department this amount is allowed to stand as an unadjusted item Out of these 951 items which relate to the period from 25-7-1907 to end of 1929 as brought forward in the register of miscellaneous deposits for the year 1932-33 many items refer to site-value deposits and a few of them represent taxes etc., recovered Whether the amounts held here in deposit are not shown as dues in the site-value register or how the demand is entered therein may kindly be examined and if out of the total cost of land due only the net amounts after deducting these deposit amounts are taken as demand in the D C B Register of sites, these items of deposits may be adjusted to miscellaneous revenue, otherwise. they should be taken credit against the service head cost of sites' The credits relating to other than site value may be credited after making sure that they have not been adjusted so far to the appropriate heads of account to miscellaneous revenue of lapsed deposits More

is

is absolu ely no purpose served in holding such a huge - balance of Rs 92,010-0-10 under deposit without being adjus ed to prop r heads this balance n y kindly be arranged to be consider bly reduced if not brought to nil after obtaining - sanction of the Municipal Council and also of Government

VI LAPSED DEPO ITS -

176 items totalling up to Rs 9,018-1-2 are noted on pages 70 to 83 of the Miscellaneous deposit register for 32-33 as amounts to be lapsed These entries and the to al are not attested by any Officer. On page 83 there is however a note ma e to say that these items are trea ed as lapsed, but the signature of the Commissio r to this remark is - w nting this balance includes particularly the undermentioned large amounts rel ting to compensation claims besides some ite s pertaining to Tender Deposits - -

Item No	Particulars	Amounts
1 - Deposit No 235/ out of Rs 4,701/-2-0 credited under C No.6 dated 3-3-1909	Balance of com ensation payable to owners of - lands acquired in Malles- waram	3,255 4 9
2 -do- 328/6-7-1909 C No 18 dated 6-7-09	Compensation to Dibbur Rudrapp a Chennasetty, Muniyappa & Anur Kri hna- macher	648 12 0
3 -do- 496/11-7-1910 out of Rs 600/- credited under C No 1	Balance of com ensation for lands ac uired for - Police lines in Chamaraj- pet.	150 0
4. -do- 392/22-6-1918 & No 22/22-6-1918.	Compensation	51 12
5 -do- 90/15-11-'18 Out of Rs 5,000/- cre- dited under C No.8	Balance of eposit for - expenditure towards tem- porary Influenza Hos- pital	547 7
6 -do- 476/30-5-1919 C No 2	Compensation	252
7 -do- 155/13-9-1926	-do-	368
8 -do- 123(3)/1-9-26 C No 1/1-9-38	-do-	417
9 -do- 253/18-11-'27 C.No.23/18-11-1927	-do-	72
	Total	5,763

...ether there were no payments to receive these commend amounts or why these amounts are now proposed to be Lapsed is not clear This may kindly be looked into and the amount may be finally transferred to the Head Lapsed Deposit as proposed since they are not seen to have been so adjusted till now, after obtaining formal sanction of the Council

Out of this total of Rs.9,018-1-2 item No 159 for Rs.35/- is noted as having been refunded on 24-8-1932, and item No.173 for 4-12-0 is also refunded during June 1932 Deducting these two items the net amount to be lapsed comes to Rs.8,978-5-2 only as detailed on pages 85 to 93 of the Register Again on pages 83 and 84 there are items 178 to 198 amounting in all to Rs 2,669-15-8, noted as relating to the year 1928-29. Of these against item No 180 for Rs. 1510-8-10 it is remarked "perhaps adjusted towards site - value given to Lajid", but when was this so adjusted cannot be traced If this is not adjusted as noted needful may kindly be done From the Cash Book it cannot however be verified if this is adjusted Items Nos.191 for Rs 10/- 195 for Rs 50/- 196 for Rs 7/- and 197 for Rs 50/- are also seen to have been neither brought forward in the list of items forming the opening balance on 1-2-1935 nor are they found to have been adjusted so far to concerned heads. These items may therefore be now taken as "omitted entries" and further action taken to adjust them to final heads

VII CONTROL AMOUNTS .

Volume I of this Control Register contains 1170 items brought forward from 18-6-1913 to 14-7-1932 aggregating Rs 13,835/10/2 as detailed therein but actually comes to Rs 13,835/- Volume II of this Register contains items 1171 to 1577 totalling up to Rs 5980 This total is also wrong in as much as it is Rs 1187-0-0 more than Rs 18,628/- only Thus the opening balance for 34-35 will therefore be Rs 12,530/- and not Rs 13,835/10/2 as noted in the balance at the

end of January 1935 as noted in the plus and minus Memo attached to the cash account for January 1935 gets affected thereby to the extent of Rs 962/- The actual opening balance for February 1935 will therefore be Rs 19,228-0-0 only as against Rs 20,190/- as shown in the plus and Minus Memo, i e, (Rs 20,190/- plus Rs 225/- -- Rs 1,187/-) For this balance of Rs 19,228/- is therefore adopted for purposes of verification

VIII. SITE VERIFICATION

The opening balance for February 35 is taken in the plus and minus memo attached to the cash account for January 1935 as Rs 44,898-0-1 and no details therefor are ascertainable By referring to the concerned deposit register the following amounts are however arrived at

(a) Deposit Register of 1st and 4th Division -

The balance on 1-2-1935 as per the abstracts noted on the last page of the register is Rs 41,238-10-4. This balance is not correct since unadjusted items relating to the period ending 30-6-1934 amounting to Rs 7,818-4-7 have been omitted to be included and brought forward in the opening balance for the year 1934-35, the same being taken only as Rs 2,484-0-4. An item of Rs 14/- which is not supported by any detail such as the date or the C No is included on page 64 of the Register and omitting this item the balance of this register should be taken as Rs 49,042-14-11.

Out of these omitted items amounting to Rs.7,818-4-7 items aggregating to Rs. 42-78-9 are seen to have been since adjusted or brought forward to current deposit Registers leaving a balance of Rs 3539 - 0 - still to be adjusted, as detailed below on P13 - These may now be taken on to the deposit register as omitted entries and necessary action taken further to adjust them to proper heads, as indicated against each As Verified, no refunds or adjustments are noted against Original Credits in the General Cash Books and hence these items are supposed to have remained still Unadjusted

Statement showing the unadjusted credits under site value deposits, to be now taken on to the deposit register as "omitted" entries

Sl. No.	Deposit No and date	Amount. Rs.	A.	P.	REMARKS
1.	22 of 8-5-1930.	100	0	0	Included in C No.44/ 8-5-1940 for Rs 1,178/ to be adjusted to site value
2.	23 of -do-	200	0	0	
3	24 of -do-	125	0	0	
4	25 of -do-	125	0	0	
5	26 of -do-	125	0	0	
6.	27 of -do-	138	0	0	
7.	28 of -do-	150	0	0	
8	29 of -do-	215	0	0	
9.	28 of 7-7-1930	155	0	0	C. No 66 as above
10.	37 of 29-10-'30.	84	0	0	C. No 43, to be adjusted to concerned fiddl hd d.
11	41 of 26-1-1931	130	0	0	
12	42 -do-	70	0	0	C. No 14
13	43 -do-	130	0	0	
14	44 27-1-1931.	15	0	0	C No.28
15-	45 28-1-1931	15	0	0	
16	46 -do-	15	0	0	C. Nos 1,23, and 0
17	47 -do-	15	0	0	
18	48 -do-	15	0	0	
19	49 30-1-1931	30	0	0	C. No.11
20	54 4-2-1931	30	0	0	C No.33
21	56 14-2-1931	15	0	0	C. No 24
22	67 6-7-1929	13	7	0	ITEMS 11 TO 22 TO BE CREDITED TO REVENUE PLAN SITE V LUE
23	69 19-1-1930.	7	8	0	C. No.35 to be adjusted to Assessed taxes
24	2 27-7-1931.	1,000	0	0	C. No.25 to be adjusted to Site value
27	225 16-6-1932	2	0	0	C. No.5 to be adjusted to taxes on carts
28.	4 9-6-1932	50	0	0	C No.47) to be adjusted
29	5 -do-	50	0	0	C. No 48) to site value
30	6 23-6-1932	25	0	0	C. No 53 as above
31	7 -do-	25	0	0	C. No 54 -do
32	2 4-7-1932	20	0	0	C. No 25 to be adjusted to assessed taxes
33	3 5-7-1932	100	0	0	C. No 27 to be adjusted to site value
34	Part of 66 dated 27-1-34.	2	8	0	Out of Rs 50/- credited against C. No 4 of 27-1-34 only, Rs.47-8-0 has been adjused to site value in he accounts for June 1937 The Balance of Rs.2-8- is therefore still to be adjusted to site value.
35	66 dated 24-2-1934	15	0	0	Taxes on Motor Lorry to be credited to proper head.
36	68 dated 6-3-1934	1	0	0	to be credited to Revenue
37	73 dated 12-5-1934	91	10	6	to be credited to site value
	Total.	3,300	1	6	

II. DEPOSIT REGISTER OF 3rd and 6th DIVISIONS:-

Balance at the end of January 1935 as shown on pages and 100 of the Register is Rs 3,744-2-0: but, as in this balance a sum of Rs.50/- being the deposit received from Mr Siddoji Rao in C. No.2 dated 5-7-1932 towards the value of site No 8 in Pension Mohalla (adjusted towards site value on 19-11-1935) is included, the opening balance should be raised by Rs 50/- and it therefore stands at Rs.3794-2-0

III DEPOSIT REGISTER OF THE 2ND AND 9TH DIVISIONS:-

The opening balance for February 1935 is taken as Rs.46-14-5 as per details entered on page 1 xxx Of these items no details are noted for item 4 for the sum of Rs 20/-. Items 1 to 3 for Rs 3-12-0, Rs 3-2-0 and Rs 12-10-5 appear to be mere repetition of entries already made in the site value register of 1, 4 and 8th divisions Hence the net opening balance will be Rs 7-6-0 only as against Rs 46-14-5 But this amount of Rs.7-6-0 has is verified to have been credited in accounts not to deposits but to the final heads of Taxes on Lands' As this item is seen to have been lapsed during 1938-39 by debit to deposits and credit to the revenue head of lapsed deposit, this adjustment has now to be rectified by affording credit of Rs 7-6-0 to the Head deposits and debit to Lapsed Deposits

Thus, the total opening balance of all the three Deposit Registers will stand at Rs (i) 40,042-14-11+(ii) 3,794-2-0 = (+) 52,837-0-11 as inst^d 44,898-0-1 as taken by the Municipality, resulting in a net difference of - Rs.7939-0-10 For purposes of verification the opening balance is taken as Rs 52,837-0-11

— (To be Continued)

Submitted to the SM Commissioner for information

30/11/42

Special Auditor

30 11 42

ANNEXURE "A"

Statement of excess and deficiency under the head Deposits.
for the period from February 1935 to June 1940

Sl No	Sub-head	Particulars	Amount of difference	Excess Deposit Register	Deficit in Deposit Register	Remarks
1	Pounds	C.No 13/17-5-1935	1 8 0	1 8 0		Against 1-4-0 credited in C No 13 referred to, two items for Rel/- and Rs 1-12-0 are taken to the Deposit register This excess has now to be credited to Deposit by refunds to Pound revenue since the two amounts have been adjusted in June 37 accounts by debit to Deposit and credit to Pounds revenue
2	Market	C No 21/4-6-1935	52 8 0		52 8 0	To be taken as omitted entries and adjusted
3	-do-	C No 80/15-6-1935	8 0 0		8 0 0	-do-
4	Tender	Amount adjusted in V No 381 dated 30-4-35 towards site value	250 0 0		250 0 0	To be verified with reference to voucher No 381 of 34-35 and the D C B Register of sites and then adjusted to final heads C2. sale of sites
5	Pounds	Part of C No,10/4-6-35.	2 8 0		2 8 0	To be taken to Deposit register as difference between the amount credited to Pound deposit in June 35 and that actually taken (Rs 3-10-0 - Rs 1-2-0)
6	Site value	C No 35/24-5-1935	5 0		5 0	Omitted entries
7	Miscellaneous	C No 40/9-4-1935	25 0 0		5 0 0	This credit though allocated in the Cash Book under Al Mohatarfa is included in account under Miscellaneous deposit, to be taken as omitted entry and adjusted to Mohatarfa or Miscellaneous revenue
			342 13 0	1-8 0	341 5 0	

			341 13 0	-1-8-0	341 5 0		
8	Miscellaneous	Amount with-held in Voucher No 92 dated - 8-5-35, as per court order.	84 0 0		84 0 0	To be taken as omitted entry and paid to court if not already done No refund is however noted against original - entry in the cash book	
9.	-do-	C. No 53 dated 10-8-35	12 0 0		12 0 0	Omitted entry.	
10	-do-	Balance out of C No 38 dated 24-6-1935	0 0 6		0 0 6	This represents the difference between Rs 28-7-6 as credited under the C No and Rs 28-8-0 actually taken to deposit register The balance has now to be taken as omitted ~~xxxxx~~ entry and - adjusted	
11	-do-	C No 20/8-6-35	15 0 0		15 0 0	This is an amount allocated to A & V fares in the Cash Book, but taken in accounts under Deposit to be noted as an omitted entry.	
12	-do-	C No.7/20-6-1935	32 2 0		32 2 0	Omitted entry	
13	-do-	C No 13/26-7-35	7 0 0		7 0 0	-do-	
14	-do-	Balance out of C No. 43 dated 27-7-35.	1 4 0		1 4 0	Out of Rs 11-4-0 credited to deposits in this C No only Rs 10/- is taken on to the deposit Register and adjusted during August 1935 leaving a balance of Rs 1-4-0 still to be adjusted	
15	-do-	C No 38/16-7-1935	3 12 0		3 12 0	-do- omitted entry	
16	Tender	C No 29/28-8-35 C No 30/26-8-35	750 0 0 750 0 0		750 0 0 750 0 0	These are amounts received as deposit towards Roads & Drains in Srirampur, still remaining unadjusted as seen - from the cash book It appears probable that the expenditure actually incurred on these works has been charged of in accounts under the Service Head "D"- Public Works These deposits require now to be suitably adjusted	
			2004 15 6	1-8-0	2003 7-6		

2004 15 6 1-80 2003 7-6

17	Market	C No 33/16-9-35	11 0 0		11 0 0	Omitted entry.
18	-do-	C No 18/14-10-35	43 8 0	43 8 0		This amount is once for all credited to the revenue head "Market Rent" in the account for October 35,but the item is taken on to the deposit register as per the allocation noted in the cash book Since this is adjusted in March 38 and Jan ,39 by debit to Deposit and credit to Larket Rent, these adjustments have now to be reversed by affording credit to Deposit and debiting the service head Market Rent
19	-do-	C No 56/21-10-33.	13 0 0		13 0 0	Omitted entry
20	Miscellaneous	C No 17/29-10-35	17 4 0		17 4 0	Sale proceeds of old materials to be credited to land acquisition case No 599/33-34
21	-do-	Amount adjusted in Transferrence entry No 100/19-10-35, out of voucher No 153/ 7-11-35	17 15 0		17 15 0	An amount of Rs.17-15-0 was adjusted by credit to stock and debit to 42 Drain repairs in this T E but this adjustment has been probably included in the accounts for Nov ,35 as a debit under stock and credit under deposit This item has now to be taken as an omitted entry under Deposit and readjusted by debit to deposit and credit to 58-(Rs.17-15-0 do Drain repairs Rs 17-15-0)(and credit to stock Rs.35-14-0)
22	-do-	C No 18/24-10-35	15 0 0		15 0 0	This item had been proposed for being lapsed during 38-39, but it was not admitted in audit on the ground that the amount had been credited to the final head A & V taxes as per remarks in the cash book On verification however, it is seen that this item has been credited actually under Miscellaneous deposit as evidenced by the Receipt Ladder and Cash account hence this has to be taken as an omitted entry and then lapsed
23	Market	C No 10/4-12-35	6 0 0		6 0 0	Temporary deposit of Market Rent to be taken as omitted entry
			2128 10 6	45--	2083 10 6	

				£128 10 6				
24	Miscellaneous	C No 39/3-3-36.	20	0 0		20	0 0	Omitted entry
25	-do-	C No 24/14-2-36	1	8 0		1	8 0	-do-
26	-do-	Amounts adjusted on 18-3-36 in Vouchers Nos 257,259,263 265,267,269,271 & 273 towards decoration charges	33	5 6		33	5 6	This adjustment had been taken erroneously in accounts as a credit under Deposit by Debit to the same head To be readjusted by debit to deposits and credit to £4 Hire of decoration-articles
27	-do-	Amount adjusted in Voucher No 277/ 18-3-36, in the bill of Mr A Bossen, for spl repairs to roads	190	0 0		190	0 0	Omitted entry
28	Site value	Amounts adjusted on 14-5-36 towards decoration charges in voucher No 136,198, and 200	12	2 0		12	2 0	These amounts are ~~already~~ wrongly credited again to deposit in the accounts for the month instead of being receipted under the final head £4 decoration charges To be readjusted
29	Miscellaneous	C No 26/11-5-36	0	1 6		0	1 6	Omitted entry to be adjusted to Miscellaneous revenue
30	-do-	C No 40/15-5-36	1	5 0		1	5 0	This is credited in account not to deposit, but to the Service head £3 Refunds and Recoveries, but while refunding the amount in Voucher No 75 dated 4-6-1936, the amount has been debited to deposits this should be now adjusted by credit under deposit and debit to £3 Refunds and recoveries
31	Site value	Balance out of C No 63/17-6-36	0	1 0		0	1 0	Out of Rs 257-1-0 credited under the C No only Rs 257-0-0 has been adjusted to site value on 30-6-37 The balance has now to be adjusted by debit to deposit and credit to Miscellaneous revenue
			£387	1-6	45 00	£342	1 6	

			2387-1-6			45-5-0		2342		
32	Market	C No 30/30-6-36	14	1	0	1	11	0	12	The sum of Rs 14-1-0 credited under this C No. has been taken in accounts entirely to the Revenue Head C8 Market Rentand lighting charges, but out of this, a sum of Rs 12-6-0 is seen to have been adjusted in the accounts for June 1939, in voucher No 880 as debit to deposit and credit again to the final - head C8 Market Rent, etc , This adjustment has now to be reversed since the full amount had been credited once for all under the - Revenue Head only The balance of 1-11-0 still running in the deposit register has to be eliminated
33	Miscellaneous	do-20/6-6-36	3	4	0				3	4 0 Sale proceeds of tobacco to be taken as omitted en ry
34	-do-	C No 10/2-7-36	1	0	0				1	0 0 Deposits for road cutting charges omitted to be taken to deposit registers To be taken as omitted entries and adjusted to final heads.
35	-do-	C No.14/2-7-36	3	6	0				3	6 0
36	-do-	C No 4/4-7-36	3	1	0				3	1 0
37	-do-	C No 41/8-7-36	4	1	0				4	1 0
38	Assessed tax	C No 32/18-8-36	18	0	0				18	0 0 Part of decree amount in C No 633/31-32 to be taken as omitted entry and adjusted to concerned head
39	Miscellaneous	Balance out of C No 40/15-8-36	2	0	0				2	0 0 Out of Rs 4/- credited under this C No only Rs 2/- is taken as adjusted on 30-6-37 The balance has now to be taken as an omitted - en ry
40	-do-	C No 54/24-8-36	10	0	0				10	0 0 Omitted en ry
41.	-do-	C No 42/15-9-36	5	0	0				5	0 0 -do-
42	-do-	C No 3/7-9-36	10	0	0	10	0	0		Vide body of the report
			2460	14	6	56	11	0	2404	3 6

			2404 6	-6-	2404-3 6	
43	Miscellaneous	C.No 33/9-10-36	10 0 0	56 11-0	10 0 0	Omitted entry
44	-do-	C No.5/2-10-36	5 0 0		5 0 0	-do-
45	-do-	C No 20/30-10-36	8 0 0		8 0 0	-do-
46	-do-	C No 36/30-10-36	3 0 0		3 0 0	-do-
47	-do-	C No.3/4-11-36	10 0 0	10 0 0		This item is taken once for all to service Head, but is adjusted on 4-3-37 by debit to deposit and credit to service head, for a second time This adjustment has now to be reversed
48	-do-	Amount adjusted towards decoration - charges in Voucher Nos 133 and 134 A dated 14-11-36	11 14 0		11 14 0	Amounts of Rs 2-8-0 and 9-6-0 adjusted out of C Nos dated 2-7-36 and C No 32 dated - 2-9-36, towards hire of decoration charges have been wrongly included in accounts for the month and as a credit again under the head deposits by debit to deposit This adjustment has to be reversed by debiting deposit and crediting the service head L4 hire of decoration charges
49	-do-	C No.24/16-1-37	3 8 0		3 8 0	Omitted entry
50	-do-	C No 43/12-2-37.	1 8 0		1 8 0	-do-
51	-do-	C No 1/11-2-37	5 0 0	5 0 0		This C No is credited in accounts for February 1937 to the service head L4 decoration charges, but the item is again adjusted on 3-3-37 by debit to deposit and credit to the service Head. The adjustment has to be reversed
52	-do-	C No 15/13-4-37	10 0 0		10 0 0	Mutton Stall licence omitted to be taken to Deposit register
53	-do-	C No 8/18-5-37	10 0 0		10 0 0	Decoration deposit omitted
54	-do-	C No 13/15-6-37	10 0 0		10 0 0	Omitted entry
55	-do-	C No 22/15-7-37	5 0 0		5 0 0	-do-
			2553 12 6	71-14-0	2482 1-6	

			2553	12	6	71-11 0			242-1-6			
56	Miscellaneous	C No 41/26-7-37	50	0	0					0	0	Soda factory license fee paid by M/S K. M T. Ammen to be taken as omitted entry and adjusted
57	-do-	Amounts passed for adjustment by debit to deposit and credit to decoration charges in Voucher No 40 to 41 and 60 to 62 on 2-9-37, - wrongly included in accounts as debits - under advances - (Rs 86-15-0 plus - 40-1-0)	127	0	0					127	0	0 To be readjusted by credit to Advances and debit to Deposits, vide report - under advances also
58	-do-	C No 9/13-11-37	2	4	0	2	4	0				This amount is credited in the first instance to the Revenue Head, C2- road cutting charges, but this amount is adjusted again by debit to deposit and credit to C2 The adjustment has to be reversed
59	-do-	C No 19/14-12-37	5	0	0					5	0	0 Omitted entry
60	-do-	C No 14/3-1-38	5	0	0					5	0	0 -do-
61	-do-	Voucher No 368/ 29-1-38	4	8	0					4	8	0 Amount adjusted in Voucher No.368 by credit to L4 decoration charges has been wrongly credited again to the Head Deposit in the account for the month The adjustment has to be reversed by debit to deposit and credit to L4 Decoration Charges
62	-do-	C No 32/11-2-38	100	0	0					100	0	0 Deposit towards size stones to be - treated as omitted entry
63	-do-	C.No.21/27-4-38	26	0	0					26	0	0 Deposit of two months rent on shop No 83/3, Lyrore Road, to be treated as - omitted entry
			2873-8	6		73 15-0			2799-9-6			

			2813 8 6	73-15 0	2799-9 6		
64.	Miscellaneous	C No.111/22-4-38.	10 0 0	10 0 0			vide body of the report
65	-do-	C No 10/11-5-38	8 0 0	8 0 0			As above
66	-do-	C No.12/3-5-38	50 0 0		50 0 0		Omitted entry
67	-do-	C No 24/7-6-38	5 0 0		5 0 0		-do-
68	-do-	C No 38/13-8-38	1,200 0 0		1,200 0 0		This item is omitted to be included both in the deposit register of 38-39 and - 39-40 Since sum of Rs 643-12-0 only has been adjusted out of this in the month of June 1942, the entire amount of Rs 1,200/- has to be included in the closing balance as on 30-6-40, taken it as an omitted entry
69	Tender	Mysore Bank credit taken to credit in the cash book on - 18-10-1938	200 0 0		200 0 0		To be taken as a balance on 30-6-40 since it is re funded only in Sept ,1940
70	Miscellaneous	C No 14/19-12-38	100 0 0		100 0 0		Deposit for construction of drains at door No 169/2, II Main Road, Malleswaram To be taken as omitted entry adjusted
71	-do-	C No 18/12-12-38	2 0 0		2 0 0		Omitted entry-do-
72	-do-	C No 35/13-12-38	30 0 0		30 0 0		As above
73	-do-	C No 52/13-12-38	11 0 0		11 0 0		To be adjusted to final heads taken these as omitted entries
74	-do-	C No 26/14-12-38	1 0 0		1 0 0		
75	-do-	C No 52/15-12-38	7 8 0		7 8 0		
76	-do-	C No 46/20-1-39	6 0 0		6 0 0		To be adjusted to proper head/
77	-do-	C No.3/23-1-39	50 0 0		50 0 0		Deposit towards decree to be adjusted to concerned head
78	-do-	C No 8/24-8-39.	6 0 0		6 0 0		-do-
			4560-0 6	91-15-0	4468 1-6		

8.	Miscellaneous	C No 39/27-1-39	400	0	0			400	0	0	This is the amount of deposit received towards the contract for collecting Gate fees on the Market Show days during Jan ,39 Omitted to be brought on to the Deposit Register Omission may be supplied and the amount adjusted by credit to concerned Head
9	Tender	C No 63/4-2-39	200	0	0			200	0	0	Tender deposit for the lease of - Chamarajpet Sewage Farm, to be - taken as omitted entry and adjusted
10	Assessed taxes	C No 35/2-2-39	160	0	0			160	0	0	Part payment of decretal amount on house No 36/30 Lalbagh Road To be taken as omitted entry and adjusted to the concerned head
11	Assessed taxes	C No Amount credited to deposit out of Rs 94-2-0 adjusted in - Voucher Nos 275, 283,284,296,299 302 and 303 dated 25-2-39	1	4	0			1	4	0	Particulars to be verified with reference to adjustment slips, credit brought on to the deposit register and adjusted to final head
12	Miscellaneous	Amount credited to Municipal funds in the State Huzur - Treasury Account on 3-2-39	168	4	1			168	4	1	Enhanced compensation payable to Hiriyanniah Omitted entry
13	-do-	C Nos 24 to 30/ 4-2-39 @ Re1/ each	7	0	0	---		7	0	0	Rent on sites in Harijan Colony, to be adjusted to final head
14	-do-	C Nos 16,17 & 18/ 14-2-39 @ Re1/each	3	0	0	---		3	0	0	-do-
15	-do-	C No 63/20-2-39	2	0	0	---		2	0	0	Deposit of professional tax to be adjusted to final head
16	-do-	C No 17 to 22/ 27-2-39@ 1Re	6	0	0			6	0	0	as at 84
			5445- 07			91-15-0		5352-7			

			5445-0-7			91-15-0			5353 1-7			
87	Assessed taxes	Amount adjusted out of Voucher No 169/ 14-3-39	23	12	0	---			23	12	0	Particulars to be traced and credits adjusted
88	-do-	Amount adjusted out of Voucher No 176/ 14-3-39	17	8	0				17	8	0	-do- -do-
89	Miscellaneous	C No 28/27-4-39	12	0	0	12	0	0				The amount credited to Deposits out of Rs 24/- receipted under C No 28/ 27-4-39 is Rs 12/- only, as against Rs 24/- included in the deposit register The credit should be reduced in the deposit register from Rs 24/- to Rs.12/- and further action taken to adjust the amount to the concerned Head
90	Octroi	C No 54/15-8-38	20	0	0				20	0	0	The names of depositers to be traced with reference to chellans and the item brought on to the Deposit Register
91	-do-	C No 22/14-7-38	10	0	0				10	0	0	As above
92	-do-	C No 32/21-7-38	10	0	0				10	0	0	(Retail license fee paid by Rangappa refunded on 13-6-42) This has to be brought on as an omitted entry and included in the closing balance on 30-6-40
93	-do-	C No 53-4/16-8-38	10	0	0				10	0	0	Omitted entries
94	-do-	C No 53-6/16-8-38	10	0	0				10	0	0	
95	-do-	C No 51-3/23-8-38	10	0	0				10	0	0	
96	-do-	C No 51-4/23-8-38	10	0	0				10	0	0	(Refunded on 25-4-41)to be included in closing balance on 30-6-40)
97	-do-	C No 41-1/27-8-38	10	0	0				10	0	0	Omitted entries
98	-do-	C No 41-2/ -dd-	10	0	0				10	0	0	
99	-do-	C No 41-3/ -do-	10	0	0				10	0	0	
			5608 - 4-7			103 15 0			5364 5 7			

				5608-4-7			10311-150			5504-5-7			
100.	Octroi	C No 41-4/27-8-38	10	0	0				10	0	0		
101.	-do-	C No 39/13-12-38	10	0	0				10	0	0	Hammaiah.	Omitted entries.
102.	-do-	C No 39/13-12-38	10	0	0				10	0	0	Arunachal	
103.	Pounds	C No 10/5-5-36	3	8	0	3	8	0				Out of Rs 11-10-0 credited under this C No. Rs 3-8-0 was lapsed on 30-6-37 by debit to deposit and credit to the Revenue Head "Fines". As the entire sum of Rs 11-10-0 was credited in accountsonce for all to the revenue head, this adjustment ~~carried~~ 30-6-37 has now to be reversed	
104	Market.	C No.28/25-8-36. Part of	32	1	0				32	1	0		
105	-do-	C No 25/26-10-36	32	9	0				32	9	0		Omitted entries.
106	-do-	C No 33/17-10-36	6	0	0				6	0	0		
107	Pounds	Part of C No.9/ 8-2-39	1	6	0				1	6	0		
108	-do-	Part of C No 4/ 14-2-39.	0	4	0				0	4	0		
109	-do-	Part of C.No 4/ 30-6-39	9	0	0				9	0	0		Omitted entries
110	Market	C No 38/23-12-38	6	0	0				6	0	0		
111	Site value	Amount adjusted in Voucher No.494/ 29-4-40 by credit to C2 sale of sites	15,775	0	0				15,775	0	0	to be readjusted by debit to deposit and credit to sale of sites (vide body of the report	
112	-do-	C No 35/26-11-40	5,250	0	0	5,250	0	0				Vide Note No 5 dated 2-11-42 submitted separately	
113	-do-	C No.19/30-4-40	2,250	0	0	2,250	0	0					
114	Tender	Amount adjusted by credit to tender - deposit in Voucher											

			29004-0 7	7607-7-0	21396 9-7	
		No 44, dated 3-11-39	750 0 0		750 0 0	Refunded during September 1940, to b included in the closing balance of 30-6-40
115	Miscellaneous	C No 5/10-2-40 Decoration deposit paid by Mr C N Narasinga Rao.	10 0 0	10 0 0		Double entry to be deleted from the deposit register (vide body of the report
116	— —	C No 35/6235	5 0 0		5 0 0	
117	— — —	C No 23/S 1136	5 0 0		5 0 0	
		Total	29764-0-7	7617-7-0	22156-9-7	
			29774-0 7			

ABSTRACT

Sub-Head.	Add	Substract
	Rs. A P.	Rs. A P
1. Pounds.	10-10-0	5-00
2. Market	179-50	45-30
3. Site value.	15790-80	7500-00
4 Tender	2900-00	- - -
5. Miscellaneous.	2965-7-7	67-4-0
6. Octroi.	140-00	- -
7 Assessed Taxes	160-50	- - -
Total	22156-9-7	7617-7-0
	A	B

NET DEFICIT RS 14539-2-9 (A-B) to be added to the Closing balance on 30 6-1940, as reported by us

18/XII/40

SPECIAL AUDITOR

ksn

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

No A1-1624/42- 10-43-51 MUNICIPAL OFFICES

Encl Two *Bangalore* 6th Sept *194* 3

LAW DEPT OF MYSORE 1943

GEN & REV SECRETARIAT 1702/L 9 SEP 1943 L S G BRANCH

FROM

P SURYANARAYANA SETTI ESQ BA LL B
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
Bangalore City

TO

Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq , B A , B L ,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MY ORE
LAW DEPARTMENT BANGALORE

SIR

In continuation of this Office letter No A1-1624/42 dated 7-7-1943, regarding the verification of the Debt Head Accounts of this Municipality, I have the honour to state that the accounts relating to advances have yet to be verified and necessary entries have to be made in the several Deposit Registers and transfer adjustments have to be effected in the Treasury Accounts with reference to the Registers and Treasury Cash Accounts for the past 10 to 15 years, as observed in the Notes furnished by the Special Auditor, Mr L S Subba Rao This work cannot be attended to by the existing staff as they find it difficult to cope up with the daily routine work which is heavy As such, a proposal was placed before the Municipal Council at their meeting held on 14-8-1943, to sanction the appointment of an Accountant of the Comptroller's Office for a period of one year to attend to this work The same has been approved by them A copy of the Note placed before the Municipal Council together with a copy of the resolution in the matter is herewith forwarded for favour of obtaining the sanction of Government to the resolution of the Council

The expenditure on this account will be met out of the savings in the provision of Rs 6,000/- made in the current year's Budget to the payment of the salary of the Octroi Establishment as per revised scale

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments

Secretary to Municipal Council

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING OF COUNCIL DATED 14-8-1943

8 Office Note that an Accountant of the Comptroller's Office may be appointed for at least one year to bring the work of reconciling the Debit Head balances up-to-date

Resolution - Approved

Sd V Y Govindarajulu,
Hon President

"Copy

Municipal Commissioner

mlt

Note regarding the verification of the accounts relating to the Debt Heads

In the notes of the Inspection of the accounts of this Office, conducted by the Assistant Comptroller in the year 1938, it has been observed as follows

20(s) The figures furnished in the plus and minus memo of Debt Head Balances are not verified and no reconciliation is effected between these and the corresponding figures shown in the several registers such as Deposit Register, Advance Register, Stock Register etc ; contrary to Rule 374 B, M A.M Part II This is a grave omission and requires to be supplied immediately.

(t) The maintenance of accounts and registers relating to the several kinds of deposits is far from satisfactory. A difference of over a lakh of rupees has been running between the balance as shown by the several deposit registers and that shown by the Cash Account from time immorial Unless special action is taken to locate and adjust this heavy difference, it is not possible to verify the correctness or otherwise of the amount shown under deposits in the monthly cash accounts

The explanation of Mr Devaraja Rao who was working as Head Accountant and Mr C Narasimhamurthy and Mr B V Satchidananda Murthy, who were working as Deputy Accountants prior to January 1936 in this office was called for in the matter They have stated that the figures under Debt Heads (Loan Deposit Sinking Fund Investments, Stock Debits and Advances) as shown in the monthly cash accounts have not been verified with the monthly figures of receipts and expenditure as per concerned registers, since the commencement of this office, that an attempt made in the year 1935 proved also futile as a difference of Rs 48,312-2-4 was found out between the two sets of figures and that it would not be reconciled and that however plus and minus memo (Opening Balance Receipts, and Expenditure for the month) under Debt Heads was attached to the cash accounts for January 1935 for the first time as it was found that the normal staff was not capable of attending to this important item of work a reference was made to Government to accord sanction to depute an Accountant of the Comptroller's Office to attend to this work Accordingly Mr S Subba Rao, Accountant of the Comptroller's Office was deputed for the purpose as sanctioned in Government Order No L 11275-6/ 41 10-41-176 dated 28th May 1942 He worked for nearly 10_2 months from 15-6-42 to 28-4-1943 (during which period he was on privilege leave for one month) and he has submitted noted on the verification of the accounts relating to (1) Loans, (2) General Investment, (3) Loan to the Municipal Employee's Co-operative Society, (4) Sinking Fund and its Investments, (5) Deposits, (a) Tender Deposits (b Miscellaneous Deposits, (c) Market Deposits, (d) Cattle Pound Deposits, (e) Outstanding Deposits of previous years (f) Octroi Deposits, (g) Lapsed Deposits (h) Site Value Deposits He has stated that the figures furnished in the plus and minus memo for January 1935 are not correct Copies of the noted are herewith put up A perusal of them shows that the accounts and the registers under Debt Head have been maintained in a perfunctory manner for several years past. Now necessary entries to rectify the misclassifications in the ~~adjustments~~ concerned registers have to be made and also adjustment of chellans to rectify the misclassifications in the Treasury Accounts as suggested by the Auditor have to be put up Further the entire accounts relating to advances have yet to be verified. This will have to be done with reference to the registers and accounts relating to the past 15 or 16 years This work is of a very complicated nature which can ot be attended to by the normal staff in the Office This is an important item of work which cannot be postponed It is quite necessary to have an accountant from the Comptroller's Office deputed for the purpose for one year to bring this work up-to-date so that the correct account

account may be maintained from 1-7-1943 at least the receipts and expenditure under Debt Heads as per Cash Accounts are now being verified every month with those in the concerned registers. The difficulty is in the matter of adopting the Opening Balance under each item of Debt Heads from 1-7-1943. To find out the solution in this behalf, the services of the Comptroller's Office Accountant are quite necessary. The matter may be placed before the Council. The Accountant that may be appointed should not only furnish notes of observation but also should make necessary entries in the concerned registers and adjustments in the Treasury Accounts and set right the matters once for all.

Copy

Municipal Commissioner

mlt

G R S App Γ VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

abd

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

Date of issue 22/11 194

"C"

Signed by— Asst G R Rao

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a Standing Order?

(ii) published in the Gazette English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the Press?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part?

Section ml

File No 10-43

Serial No 52

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— GO No L10957-8/ml 10-42 124 dt 12 5 43 extending the term of the deputation of the Accountant of the Comptroller office to the Bangalore city mdy from 14-4-43 to 28 4-43 both days inclusive, to Verify the debt head accounts of the Mdy, on a pay of Rs 60-5-100 p m with a deputation duty allowance not exceeding Rs 10 p.m

2 Correspondence ending with letter No AH 1624/ dt 6-9-43 from the ml Commr Bcly requesting that the

services of the Special Auditor
(Accountant of the Comptrollers
office) referred to in the 2nd
Read above may be sanctioned
for a period of one year to
complete the Verification of the
debt head accounts of the ASF mcf
as resolved by the MCL at their
meeting held on 14-8-43
3 No MO 331/Spl Dy 13-10-43
of the Comptroller stating that
the items of work still to be
attended to in connection with
the reconciliation of Advances
are sufficiently heavy & justify the
Posting of a Senior Accountant of his office
for a period of one year on the same conditions
as before i e, Grade Salary
as Accountant in Grade
Rs 60-5-100 plus a deputation
duty allowance of Rs 100 pm & that
a Competent Accountant,
having experience of MC
Accounts will be Posted to the

List of Enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 04162—GPB—60 000—23 10 41

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form*)

Bangalore agt mcgs for the work in question.

Order no 5289-90

The resolution of the mtg dt is sanctioned with the observation that the work in question should be completed before the expiry of the period of one year with the extra mtg funds. The entire

The Comptroller is requested to take necessary action for posting a qualified Accountant of this office to the Bangs mcgs as agreed to by him

Sd/-
Dy Secy to Govt
W&L Law Deptt
8/11

To The Mtg Comm, Bangs
The Comptroller thro Dy Secy thro DL Deptt